

From: [Scholl, Matthew \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#); [Regenscheid, Andrew R. \(Fed\)](#); [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: Re: We had talked shortly about a PQC tri fold item
Date: Thursday, August 1, 2019 11:13:12 AM

Thank you!

----- Original Message -----

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Thu, August 01, 2019 11:11 AM -0400
To: "Scholl, Matthew (Fed)" <matthew.scholl@nist.gov>, "Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed)" <andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>, "Chen, Lily (Fed)" <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: We had talked shortly about a PQC tri fold item

Matt,

Overall, I like the message and the questions it asks. I've added some comments/suggestions.

Dustin

From: Scholl, Matthew (Fed) <matthew.scholl@nist.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 10:38 AM
To: Regenscheid, Andrew (Fed) <andrew.regenscheid@nist.gov>; Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>; Chen, Lily (Fed) <lily.chen@nist.gov>
Subject: We had talked shortly about a PQC tri fold item

To help folks understand how we want them to operationally prepare now but not start upgrading yet.

This came out of RSA last year

Attached is my first hack at some text that we will have a graphics and layout person make presentable but I wanted to see what you thought of the words and, mostly if I am correct.

Thanks

Matt